Risk Register Template

How to use this risk register template

Risk management is aimed at reducing the 'gross level' of risk identified to a 'net level' of risk, in other words, the risk that remains after appropriate action is taken. This template has been created to illustrate a practical way of recording in a risk register how this reduction in level might be achieved by the charity. In example 1, the gross risk is identified as the lack of return/diversity of investment portfolio and rated as high. After identifying the procedures for managing this risk, the net risk has been rated as medium. Trustees need to form a view as to the acceptability of the net risk that remains after management.

The charitable sector is by its nature diverse. The nature of activities, funding base, reserves and structures will expose charities to differing areas of risk and levels of exposure. While the areas of risk identified in this template will deserve consideration by most charities, it is not an exhaustive list of all potential areas of risk and should not be a substitute for a charity undertaking its own processes for risk identification.

The list of risk areas is intended to be an indication of some of the main areas of risk that may need to be considered by trustees. Illustrative examples of potential impact are given, as well as some illustrative examples of controls or action that might be taken to mitigate the risk or impact. Some risks will fall into more than one category. Although the list may be long, it is not exhaustive and there will be other risks that apply to a particular charity because of its own circumstances and activities.

Scoring should be done as follows:

- Likelihood of occurrence give a score of 1 to 5 (as shown on the bottom axis of the table)
- Severity of impact give a score of 1 to 5 (as shown on the left hand axis of the table)

These two scores are multiplied together to give the overall or 'gross' risk.

Score of 11 or more – high extreme/catastrophic risks (Red)

Score of 5 to 10 - moderate or major risks (Yellow)

Score of 3 or 4 - minor risks (Blue)

Score 2 or below – insignificant risks (Green)

Extreme / Catastrophic	5	5	10	15	20	25
Major	4	4	8	12	16	20
Moderate	3	3	6	9	12	15
Minor	2	2	4	6	8	10
Insignificant	1	1	2	3	4	5
		1	2	3	4	5
		Remote	Unlikely	Possible	Probable	Highly Probable

LIKELIHOOD

The next page (page 2) is a risk register which contains some examples to demonstrate how to use the template.

Page 3 shows a blank template for you to use to adapt to suit your organisation's needs.

The pages which follow (page 4 onwards) list risk areas that may need to be considered by trustees together with examples of potential impact and controls or action that might be taken to mitigate the risk or impact.

This template is based on the Charity Commission guidance CC26 "Charities and Risk Management" https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/charities-and-risk-management-cc26

1

Risk register template with examples of use

Risk management is aimed at reducing the 'gross level' of risk identified to a 'net level' of risk, in other words, the risk that remains after appropriate action is taken. This template has been created to illustrate a practical way of recording in a risk register how this reduction in level might be achieved by the charity. In example 1, the gross risk is identified as the lack of return/diversity of investment portfolio and rated as high. After identifying the procedures for managing this risk, the net risk has been rated as medium. Trustees need to form a view as to the acceptability of the net risk that remains after management.

The charitable sector is by its nature diverse. The nature of activities, funding base, reserves and structures will expose charities to differing areas of risk and levels of exposure. While the areas of risk identified below will deserve consideration by most charities, it is not an exhaustive list of all potential areas of risk and should not be a substitute for a charity undertaking its own processes for risk identification.

The list of risk areas is intended to be an indication of some of the main areas of risk that may need to be considered by trustees. Illustrative examples of potential impact are given, as well as some illustrative examples of controls or action that might be taken to mitigate the risk or impact. Some risks will fall into more than one category. Although the list may be long, it is not exhaustive and there will be other risks that apply to a particular charity because of its own circumstances and activities.

Risk area/risk identified	Likelihood of occurrence (score)	Severity of impact (score)	Overall or 'gross' risk	Control procedure	Retained or 'net' risk	Monitoring process	Responsibility	Further action required	Date of review
lack of return/diversity of investment portfolio	probable (4)	major (4)	high (20)	investment policy set by trustees written instructions to FSA authorised investment adviser quarterly reviews by trustees	medium	performance reports reviewed quarterly by trustees	trustees and treasurer	quarterly agenda item for trustee meetings	quarterly
unsatisfactory fundraising	probable (4)	major (4)	high (20)	financial appraisal of new projects benchmarking of returns achieved budget reporting by fundraising activity	medium	financial reporting by fundraising activity quarterly reporting by fundraising manager to trustees/CEO	fundraising manager/CEO	new initiatives to be approved by trustees unless included in current business plan review of regulatory compliance of current methods	when appropriate next trustee meeting

Blank template risk register

Risk area/risk identified	Likelihood of occurrence (score)	Severity of impact (score)	Overall or 'gross' risk	Control procedure	Retained or 'net' risk	Monitoring process	Responsibility	Further action required	Date of review

GOVERNANCE

	Potential impact	Steps to mitigate risk
The charity lacks direction, strategy and forward planning	• the charity drifts with no clear objectives, priorities or plans	• create a strategic plan which sets out the key aims, objectives and
	• issues are addressed piecemeal with no strategic reference	policies
	•needs of beneficiaries not fully addressed	create financial plans and budgets
	financial management difficulties	use job plans and targets
	• loss of reputation	monitor financial and operational performance
		get feedback from beneficiaries and funders
Trustee body lacks relevant skills or commitment	charity becomes moribund or fails to achieve its purpose	review and agree skills required
·	•decisions are made bypassing the trustees	draw up competence framework and job descriptions
	resentment or apathy amongst staff	•implement trustee training and induction
	poor decision making reflected in poor value for money on service	review and agree recruitment processes
	delivery	
Trustee body dominated by one or two individuals, or by connected	trustee body cannot operate effectively as strategic body	consider the structure of the trustee body and its independence
individuals	decisions made outside of trustee body	agree mechanisms to manage potential conflicts of interest
	• conflicts of interest	• review and agree recruitment and appointment processes in line with
	pursuit of personal agenda	governing document
	culture of secrecy or deference	agree procedural framework for meetings and recording decisions
	arbitrary over-riding of control mechanisms	agree procedural framework for meetings and recording decisions
Trustees are benefiting from charity (eg remuneration)	poor reputation, morale and ethos	ensure legal authority for payment or benefit
rustees are benefiting from charity (eg remuneration)	adverse impact on overall control environment	, , ,
	• conflicts of interest	consider alternative staffing arrangements implement to reach the staffing arrangements
		implement terms and procedures to authorise/approve expenses and
	possibility of regulatory action	payments
		agree procedures and methods to establish fair remuneration conducted
		separately from 'interested' trustee (remuneration
		committee/benchmarking exercise etc)
Conflicts of interest	charity unable to pursue its own interests and agenda	agree protocol for disclosure of potential conflicts of interest
	decisions may not be based on relevant considerations	 put in place procedures for standing down on certain decisions
	impact on reputation	 review recruitment and selection processes
	private benefit	
Ineffective organisational structure	 lack of information flow and poor decision making procedures 	• use organisation chart to create a clear understanding of roles and duties
	remoteness from operational activities	 delegation and monitoring should be consistent with good practice and
	uncertainty as to roles and duties	constitutional or legal requirements
	decisions made at inappropriate level or excessive bureaucracy	review structure and the need for constitutional change
Activities potentially outside objects, powers or terms of gift (restricted	loss of funds available for beneficiary class	 agree protocol for reviewing new projects to ensure consistency with
funds)	liabilities to repay funders	objects, powers and terms of funding
	loss of funder confidence	 create financial systems to identify restricted funds and their application
	 potential breach of trust and regulatory action 	
	loss of beneficiary confidence	
	 taxation implications (if non-qualifying expenditure) 	
Loss of key staff	experience or skills lost	succession planning
	operational impact on key projects and priorities	document systems, plans and projects
	loss of contact base and corporate knowledge	• implement training programmes
		agree notice periods and handovers
		review and agree recruitment processes
Reporting to trustees (accuracy, timeliness and relevance)	inadequate information resulting in poor quality decision making	put in place proper strategic planning, objective setting and budgeting
, 3	failure of trustees to fulfil their control functions	processes
	trustee body becomes remote and ill informed	timely and accurate project reporting
	and the state of t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		timely and accurate financial reporting
		 timely and accurate financial reporting assess and review projects and authorisation procedures
		 timely and accurate financial reporting assess and review projects and authorisation procedures have regular contact between trustees and senior staff and managers

OPERATIONAL

Potential risk	Potential impact	Steps to mitigate risk
Contract risk	onerous terms and conditions	create cost/project appraisal procedures
	liabilities for non-performance	agree authorisation procedures
	• non-compliance with charity's objects	get professional advice on terms and conditions
	unplanned subsidy of public provision	put in place performance monitoring arrangements
		consider insurable risks cover
Service provision - customer satisfaction	beneficiary complaints	agree quality control procedures
	• loss of fee income	implement complaints procedures
	 loss of significant contracts or claims under contract 	benchmark services and implement complaints review procedures
	• negligence claims	
	• reputational risks	
Project or service development	compatibility with objects, plans and priorities	appraise project, budgeting and costing procedures
	funding and financial viability	review authorisation procedures
	• project viability	review monitoring and reporting procedures
	• skills availability	
Competition from similar organisations	loss of contract income	monitor and assess performance and quality of service
•	reduced fund-raising potential	review market and methods of service delivery
	reduced public profile	agree fund-raising strategy
	profitability of trading activities	ensure regular contact with funders
		monitor public awareness and profile of charity
Suppliers, dependency, bargaining power	dependency on key supplier	use competitive tendering for larger contracts
77 0 01	lack of supplier to meet key operational objectives	put in place procedures for obtaining quotations
	non-competitive pricing/quotes	authorised suppliers listing
	• insufficient buying power	monitor quality/timeliness of provision
	6 (1)	• use service level agreements
		consider use of buying consortia
Capacity and use of resources including tangible fixed assets	under-utilised or lack of building/office space	agree building and plant inspection programme
oupunity and doc or reconnects mentaling tangents med doctor	plant and equipment obsolescence impacting on operational	agree repair and maintenance programme
	performance	agree capital expenditure budgets
	 mismatch between staff allocations and key objectives 	undertake efficiency review
	spare capacity not being utilised or turned to account	,
Security of assets	• loss or damage	review security arrangements
	• theft of assets	create asset register and inspection programme
	infringements of intellectual property rights	agree facility management arrangements
	and the second of the second o	have safe custody arrangements for title documents and land registration
		manage use of patent and intellectual property
		• review insurance cover
Fund-raising	unsatisfactory returns	implement appraisal, budgeting and authorisation procedures
-	 reputational risks of campaign or methods used 	review regulatory compliance
	actions of agents and commercial fund-raisers	monitor the adequacy of financial returns achieved (benchmarking)
	compliance with law and regulation	comparisons)
		stewardship reporting in annual report
Employment issues	employment disputes	review recruitment processes
' '	health and safety issues	agree reference and qualification checking procedures, job descriptions,
	claims for injury, stress, harassment, unfair dismissal	contracts of employment, appraisals and feedback procedures
	equal opportunity and diversity issues	implement job training and development
	adequacy of staff training	implement health and safety training and monitoring
	child protection issues	be aware of employment law requirements
	• low morale	• implement staff vetting and legal requirements (eg DBS checks)
	abuse of vulnerable beneficiaries	agree a whistle-blowing policy
High staff turnover	loss of experience or key technical skills	review interview and assessment processes
Then stall talliover	recruitment costs and lead time	agree fair and open competition appointment for key posts
	- recraiment costs and lead time	1 - agree rail and open competition appointment for key posts

	training costs	agree job descriptions and performance appraisal and feedback systems
	 operational impact on staff morale and service delivery 	• conduct 'exit' interviews
		 review rates of pay, training, working conditions, job satisfaction
Volunteers	 lack of competences, training and support 	 review and agree role, competencies
	• poor service for beneficiaries	review and agree vetting procedures
	 inadequate vetting and reference procedures 	 review and agree training and supervision procedures
	recruitment and dependency	agree development and motivation initiatives
Health, safety and environment	• staff injury	comply with law and regulation
	• product or service liability	train staff and compliance officer
	 ability to operate (see Compliance risks) 	 put in place monitoring and reporting procedures
	• injury to beneficiaries and the public	
Disaster recovery and planning	 computer system failures or loss of data 	agree IT recovery plan
	• destruction of property, equipment, records through fire, flood or similar	 implement data back-up procedures and security measures
	damage	review insurance cover
		create disaster recovery plan including alternative accommodation
Procedural and systems documentation	 lack of awareness of procedures and policies 	properly document policies and procedures
	 actions taken without proper authority 	audit and review of systems
Information technology	• systems fail to meet operational need	appraise system needs and options
	failure to innovate or update systems	appraise security and authorisation procedures
	• loss/corruption of data eg donor base	implement measures to secure and protect data
	• lack of technical support	agree implementation and development procedures
	breach of data protection law	use service and support contracts
		create disaster recovery procedures
		consider outsourcing
		review insurance cover for any insurable loss

FINANCIAL

Potential risk	Potential impact	Steps to mitigate risk
Budgetary control and financial reporting	budget does not match key objectives and priorities	link budgets to business planning and objectives
	 decisions made on inaccurate financial projections or reporting 	monitor and report in a timely and accurate way
	decisions made based on unreliable costing data or income projections	use proper costing procedures for product or service delivery
	inability to meet commitments or key objectives	ensure adequate skills base to produce and interpret budgetary and
	poor credit control	financial reports
	poor cash flow and treasury management	agree procedures to review and action budget/cash flow variances and
	ability to function as going concern	monitor and control costs
		 regularly review reserves and investments
Reserves policies	lack of funds or liquidity to respond to new needs or requirements	Iink reserves policy to business plans, activities and identified financial
•	inability to meet commitments or planned objectives	and operating risk
	reputational risks if policy cannot be justified	 regularly review reserves policy and reserve levels
Cash flow sensitivities	• inability to meet commitments	ensure adequate cash flow projections (prudence of assumptions)
	lack of liquidity to cover variance in costs	• identify major sensitivities
	• impact on operational activities	ensure adequate information flow from operational managers
	impact on operational activities	monitor arrangements and reporting
Dependency on income sources	cash flow and budget impact of loss of income source	identify major dependencies
Dependency on income sources	- cash now and budget impact of loss of income source	implement adequate reserves policy
		consider diversification plans
Driging policy	reliance on subsidy funding	
Pricing policy		ensure accurate costing of services and contracts sempore with other services providers.
	unplanned loss from pricing errors seek flow impact an other activities.	compare with other service providers a set if a set of a service providers
	• cash flow impact on other activities	notify and agree price variations with funders
	loss of contracts if uncompetitive	monitor funder satisfaction
	affordability of services to beneficiary class	develop pricing policy for activities including terms of settlement and discounts
D		discounts
Borrowing	• interest rate movements	appraise future income streams to service the debt
	ability to meet repayment schedule	appraise terms (rates available fixed, capped, variable etc)
	• security given over assets	appraise return on borrowing
	regulatory requirements	use appropriate professional advice
Guarantees to third parties	call made under guarantee	review approval and authority procedures
	lack of reserves or liquidity to meet call	agree procedures to ensure consistency with objects, plans and priorities
	consistency with objects and priorities	ensure financial reporting of contingency and amendment to reserves
		policy
Foreign currency	currency exchange losses	ensure proper cash flow management and reserves policy
	uncertainty over project costs	use currency matching (cost to charity in home currency)
	cash flow impact on operational activities	consider forward contracts for operational needs (hedging)
Pension commitments	under-funded defined benefit scheme	use actuarial valuations
	impact on future cash flows	• review pension scheme arrangements (eg money purchase schemes)
	failure to meet due dates of payment	• review procedures for admission to scheme and controls over pension
	regulatory action or fines	administration
Inappropriate or loss-making non-charitable trading activities	 resources withdrawn from key objectives 	 monitor and review business performance and return
	 resources and energy diverted from profitable fund-raising or core 	 ensure adequacy of budgeting and financial reporting within the
	activities	subsidiary or activity budget
	 regulatory action, and accountability 	 review and agree adequate authorisation procedures for any funding
	reputational risk if publicised	provided by charity (prudence, proper advice, investment criteria)
		 report funding and performance as part of charity's own financial
		reporting system
		appraise viability
		consider transfer of undertakings to separate subsidiary
Investment policies	financial loss through inappropriate or speculative investment	review and agree investment policy
	unforeseen severe adverse investment conditions	obtain proper investment advice or management
	financial loss through lack of investment advice, lack of diversity	consider diversity, prudence and liquidity criteria
	cash flow difficulties arising from lack of liquidity	• implement adequate reserves policy

		use regular performance monitoring
Protection of permanent endowment	 loss of future income stream or capital values 	review and agree investment policy
	buildings unfit for purpose	obtain proper investment advice or management
	 income streams inappropriate to meet beneficiary needs 	consider diversity, prudence and liquidity criteria
		use regular performance monitoring
		 ensure maintenance and surveyor inspection of buildings
		review insurance needs
Compliance with donor imposed restrictions	funds applied outside restriction	 implement systems to identify restricted receipts
	• repayment of grant	 agree budget control, monitoring and reporting arrangements
	 future relationship with donor and beneficiaries 	
	regulatory action	
Fraud or error	• financial loss	review financial control procedures
	• reputational risk	• segregate duties
	• loss of staff morale	• set authorisation limits
	regulatory action	agree whistle-blowing anti-fraud policy
	• impact on funding	• review security of assets
		identify insurable risks
Counter party risk	• financial loss	• research counter party's financial sustainability
	 disruption to activities or operations 	contractual agreement
		consider staged payments
		agree performance measures
		monitor and review investments
		 establish monitoring and review arrangements where counter party is
		the charity's agent ('conduit funding' arrangements

ENVIRONMENTAL / EXTERNAL

Potential risk	Potential impact	Steps to mitigate risk
Public perception	• impact on voluntary income	communicate with supporters and beneficiaries
	 impact on use of services by beneficiaries 	 ensure good quality reporting of the charity's activities and financial
	 ability to access grants or contract funding 	situation
		implement public relations training/procedures
Adverse publicity	 loss of donor confidence or funding 	• implement complaints procedures (both internal and external)
	loss of influence	agree proper review procedures for complaints
	• impact on morale of staff	agree a crisis management strategy for handling - including consistency of
	loss of beneficiary confidence	key messages and a nominated spokesperson
Relationship with funders	 deterioration in relationship may impact on funding and support 	 ensure regular contact and briefings to major funders
	available	report fully on projects
		meet funders' terms and conditions
Demographic consideration	 impact of demographic distribution of donors or beneficiaries 	profile donor base
	 increasing or decreasing beneficiary class 	profile and understand beneficiary needs
	 increasing or decreasing donor class 	use actuarial analysis to establish future funding requirements
Government policy	availability of contract and grant funding	monitor proposed legal and regulatory changes
	 impact of tax regime on voluntary giving 	consider membership of appropriate umbrella bodies
	• impact of general legislation or regulation on activities undertaken	
	role of voluntary sector	

LAW AND REGULATION

Potential risk	Potential impact	Steps to mitigate risk
Compliance with legislation and regulations appropriate to the activities,	fines, penalties or censure from licensing or activity regulators	identify key legal and regulatory requirements
size and structure of the charity	• loss of licence to undertake particular activity (see operational risks)	allocate responsibility for key compliance procedures
	employee or consumer action for negligence	put in place compliance monitoring and reporting
	reputational risks	prepare for compliance visits
		obtain compliance reports from regulators (where appropriate) - auditors
		and staff to consider and action at appropriate level
Regulatory reporting requirements	regulatory action	review and agree compliance procedures and allocation of staff
Financial and other reporting requirements will be dependent on how the	reputational risks	responsibilities
charity is constituted and may also vary according to funding arrangements	impact on funding	
Taxation	penalties, interest and 'back duty' assessments	review PAYE compliance procedures
	loss of income eg failure to utilise gift aid arrangements	• review VAT procedures
	loss of mandatory or discretionary rate relief	file timely tax returns
	failure to utilise tax exemptions and reliefs	 understand exemptions and reliefs available (direct tax and VAT)
		take advice on employment status and contract terms and tax
		• implement budget and financial reporting identifying trading receipts, and tax recoveries
Professional advice	• lack of investment strategy or management	identify and ensure access to professional advice
Fibressional advice	lack of investment strategy or managementfailure to optimise fiscal position	
	• contract risks	identify issues where advice is required conduct compliance reviews
		conduct compliance reviews
	failure to address compliance risks	